UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,967	12/29/2005	Katsuyoshi Nakatsukasa	053513	7895
38834 7590 03/27/2009 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN	
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/562,967	NAKATSUKASA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duy-Vu N. Deo	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-9 is/are withdrawn f 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 5-9 are subject to restriction and/or ele Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	ection requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, drawn to a method.

Group II, claim(s) 5-9, drawn to apparatus.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the apparatus can be used to practice another and different process including etching and without the need for drying the substrate.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Scott M. Daniels on 3/23/09 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of method, claims 1-4. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 5-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamikawa et al. (US 6,131,588).

Kamikawa describes a method for cleaning a substrate including steps of: dividing a processing tank into a washing and a drying section (fig. 16; col. 9, line 20-25, 65-67), which are connected by a clearance joint (the area between the two section) and the clearance joint is communicating with a sink 41 (fig. 16); moving a substrate from the washing section to the drying section (figs. 23, 24; col. 13, line 50-60); inserting a slide door 72 in the lower region of the clearance area (fig 25; col. 13, line 62—5); jetting a drying gas against the substrate wherein the internal pressure of the drying section is kept higher than that of the sink and the washing section (col. 13, line 63-65; col. 16, line 32-40). Unlike claimed invention, Kamikawa doesn't describe the sliding door 72 is a porous plate wherein a plurality of small holes having a diameter are punched. However, it appears that this would be a desired choice to let the drying gas goes through and therefore it would be obvious to one skilled in the art because it would facilitate in keeping the chemicals from the processing bath from entering the drying section, as desired by Kamikawa's process, as the gas flows downward (col. 16, line 35-40) and to clean the substrate with predictable results.

Art Unit: 1792

Referring to claim 2, the washing process includes dipping the substrate in the processing tank to perform chemical cleaning; rinsing or washing the substrate after the chemical cleaning is done, discharging the chemical solution from the processing tank (col. 13, line 19-42). It would be obvious to stop the supply of the washing solution after the chemical solution has been discharged to start washing the substrate.

Referring to claim 3, the process further preparing the next processing bath during the drying process (claimed discharging the processing solution in the washing section at the same time as the porous plate (or sliding door) is inserted between the washing section and drying section (col. 16, line 38-40).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duy-Vu N. Deo whose telephone number is 571-272-1462. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Duy-Vu N Deo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

3/25/09